# **269.** The Molecular Structure of Pyrrole and Some of its Simple Derivatives, from Electric Dipole Moment Measurements.

By HELMER KOFOD, L. E. SUTTON, and (in part) J. JACKSON.

Seventeen pyrrole derivatives have been investigated. The electric dipole moments are consistent with the conclusions about ring structure from other physical methods. They indicate that the extra-annular nitrogen valency is not more than 7° out of the symmetry axis of the ring. The direction of the  $\pi$ -electron moment of pyrrole has been determined. It agrees with deductions from organic chemical evidence, and from theoretical considerations, in having its positive pole towards the nitrogen and its negative one towards the remainder of the ring.

Resonance phenomena and the steric inhibition thereof have been studied in a series of N-phenylpyrrole derivatives. The  $\pi$ -moment due to interannular conjugation has been estimated to be *ca.* 0.5 D, antiparallel to the main moment of phenylpyrrole.

A series of indole derivatives measured by Janetsky and Lebret has been included in the discussion. These and all the reported pyrrole derivatives fit into a general pattern.

# Electronic Structure and Geometry of the Pyrrole Ring : Previous Information from Other Methods.

PYRROLE has benzene-like aromatic character. The language used to account for this has changed from time to time, but the essential idea remains the same. The aromaticity is now generally attributed to a sextet of unlocalized  $\pi$ -electrons, the nitrogen providing by its lone pair the two electrons necessary to complete the sextet. According to the molecular-orbital treatment (Coulson and Longuet-Higgins, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1947, 43, 87) the delocalization of the lone pair is so effective as to leave the nitrogen with a formal positive charge in spite of the fact that its electronegativity is higher than that of carbon. A corresponding negative charge is distributed over the carbon atoms in the ring. The pyrrole ring will therefore possess an electric dipole moment due to the  $\pi$ -electron distribution (often referred to as the  $\pi$ -moment), apart from the resultant of the  $\sigma$ -bond moments.

In the terms of the valence-bond method the electron distribution may be described by the resonating structures shown below. From the formally charged structures (iii)—(vii) contributing to the resonance hybrid it is to be expected that the  $\pi$ -moment will have its negative pole uppermost in the formulæ written as below. Further, since (iii) and (iv) have the same weight, likewise (v) and (vi), the  $\pi$ -moment should be parallel to the symmetry axis which the ring itself may be presumed to have.



By an electron-diffraction examination, Pauling and Schomaker (J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1939, 61, 1778) found dimensions for pyrrole which agreed with the ring's being planar. The bonds 2–3 and 4–5 are slightly shorter, 1.35 Å, than the other bonds, 1.42 Å, showing that the classical structure (i) contributes most to the hybrid. No one of the intra-annular valency angles deviates from 108° by more than the experimental error. It is therefore sufficient for the present purpose to regard the pyrrole ring as a coplanar regular pentagon having a side of length 1.4 Å.

The electron-diffraction method cannot place the hydrogen atoms in pyrrole. Garach

and Lecomte (Bull. Soc. chim., 1946, 415; Compt. rend., 1946, 222, 74) report that the infra-red absorption spectrum of pyrrole is readily explained by a model having all bonds, including the C-H bonds, coplanar; but there seems still to be some doubt about the direction of the N-H bond relatively to the ring plane. The above authors conclude that this bond is inclined to the ring plane, but others differ: e.g., Lord and Miller (J. Chem. *Physics*, 1942, **10**, 339) conclude that the Raman and infra-red spectra strongly support a coplanar structure, although a non-coplanar one is not definitely ruled out. If, as is supposed in the theoretical treatment (Coulson and Longuet-Higgins, loc. cit.), the three  $\sigma$ -bonds of the nitrogen are formed by hybridization of one s- and two p-orbitals, it would be expected that they would be coplanar. The establishment of this point would therefore be a useful verification of the said treatment.

The present investigation fails to provide conclusive evidence as to the direction of the N-H bond; but it gives limits to the degree of non-coplanarity.

Calculation of the Electric Dipole Moment of Pyrrole.—Neither the moment due to the electrons in the  $\sigma$ -bonds nor that due to those in the  $\pi$ -bonds of pyrrole can be accurately calculated a priori, but a rough estimate may be attempted. Taking values of 0.4 D for H-C, 1.3 D for H-N, and 0.5 D for C-N (cf., e.g., Hill and Sutton, J., 1949, 746; Everard and Sutton, J., 1949, 2320) \* and assuming the geometry of pyrrole to be as outlined above, we get a resulting  $\sigma$ -moment of about +0.4 D.<sup>+</sup> The  $\pi$ -moment may be approximately calculated from the  $\pi$ -electron densities obtained by Coulson and Longuet-Higgins (loc. cit.).The result is +1.97 D. However, owing to certain approximations, such molecular-orbital treatments are prone to exaggerate the  $\pi$ -electron moment (see Orgel, Cottrell, Dick, and Sutton, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1951, 47, 113 ‡). Pauling and Schomaker (loc. cit.) derived an experimental value of the  $\pi$ -moment and used this to assess the importance of contributions by structures (iii)-(vi). They found that the results agreed quite reasonably with those obtained from the bond lengths. A  $\sigma$ -moment of +0.4 D being taken, it follows that if the observed moment, 1.80 D, is positive, the  $\pi$ -moment is +1.40 D. Pauling and Schomaker (*loc. cit.*) give a value, similarly derived, of 1.5 D.

# TABLE 1. Electric dipole moments of pyrrole derivatives in benzene solution at 25°. (Debye units.)

Pyrroles.			
(I) Unsubstituted (II) 1-Methyl (III) 2:5 Dimethyl	${1.80 \pm 0.01 \atop 1.92 \pm 0.02 \atop 2.08 \pm 0.03}$	(IV) 1:2:5-Trimethyl (V) 3:4-Di-iodo-2:5-dimethyl (VI) 2:3:4:5-Tetraiodo	$\begin{array}{c} 2.07 \pm 0.01 \\ 4.00 \pm 0.01 \\ 2.52 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$
(III) 2. <b>5-D</b> imetriyi	$2.00 \pm 0.00$	(1) 2.5.4.5-10121000	202 <u>0</u> 04
1-Phenylpyrroles.	, .		
	$\leftarrow$ + Moments	- Moments	
	3 4 5	2/- 3/ 6/- 5/	
(VII) Unsubstituted	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 32 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \\ 2 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \\ 2 \cdot 07 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 06 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \\ 1 \cdot 79 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \end{array}$	(XII) 2:5:4'-Trimethyl (XIII) 4'-Chloro (XIV) 4'-Chloro-2:5-dimethyl (XV) 4'-Bromo-2:5-dimethyl (XVI) 2:5-Dimethyl-4'-nitro	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 34 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \\ ca. \ 0 \cdot 03 \\ 0 \cdot 50 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \\ 0 \cdot 54 \pm 0 \cdot 03 \\ 2 \cdot 48 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \end{array}$
p-Di-1-pyrrylbenzene.		^	
	12 12		



\* The convention used here is that the right-hand atom bears the negative dipolar charge.

† A plus sign denotes a moment parallel to the symmetry axis of pyrrole and with its negative end upwards in the formulæ written as in (i)---(vii), whereas a minus sign shows a moment in the opposite direction.

t These authors did not calculate an independent value for the moment of pyrrole but used the observed value to derive a parameter for nitrogen.

# DISCUSSION

(A) The Monocylic Compounds (I) —(VI) (Table 1).—Two of the compounds in Table 1 were measured in 1933 by one of us (J. J.), namely, pyrrole (I) and 1-methylpyrole (II), and the results were briefly reported (Sutton, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1934, **30**, 789). The moments have been recalculated from the observations by the method of Halverstadt and Kumler (J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1942, **64**, 2988), which has been used throughout this paper. No other records of the moment of 1-methylpyrrole have been found. Other values reported for pyrrole are 1.83 at 20° (Cowley and Partington, J., 1933, 1259); 1.80 at 20° (Robles, Rec. Trav. chim., 1939, **58**, 111); 2.2—2.0 at 20°, 1.8 at 22°, and 1.7 at 23° (Hückel, Datow, and Simmersbach, Z. physikal. Chem., 1940, A, **186**, 166). The figures from the last reference are each based on only one solution and are hence deemed less reliable.

For considering the moments of many of the other compounds the group moment of  $Me-C_{ar}$  is needed, *i.e.*, the extra moment produced when a hydrogen atom attached to carbon in the pyrrole ring is replaced by a methyl group. In view of the similarity of the aromatic systems of pyrrole and benzene, we shall assume this group moment to be equal

in magnitude and direction to the moment of toluene 0.35 D, *i.e.*,  $CH_3-C_{ar}$ . Some support for this assumption comes from the moments of alkylindoles. Indole is assumed to contain an undistorted regular pyrrole ring and the entire molecule is taken as coplanar. From the practically identical experimental values 2.11 and 2.08 found for indole and 3-methylindole (Janetzky and Lebret, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1944, **63**, 123), it follows that either the Me-C<sub>ar.</sub> moment is vanishingly small, which is very unlikely, or it is oriented almost orthogonally to the main moment of indole. This means, however, that in 2-methylindole the main moment and the Me-C<sub>ar.</sub> moment are practically parallel. We should therefore be able to get a reliable value for the latter as the difference between the moment of 2-methylindole, 2.47 (*loc. cit.*), and that of indole 2.11, *i.e.*, 0.36. Hence the value chosen, 0.35 D, seems to be reasonable.

The moment calculated for 2:5-dimethylpyrrole (III) on the assumptions already given and the moment of pyrrole being taken as 1.80 D, is +2.02 D. The observed moment is  $2.08 \pm 0.03 \text{ D}$ . This agreement confirms that the pyrrole moment is positive. The fact that the agreement is so good furthermore suggests that the H-N bond is at least roughly coplanar with the ring, as the calculated moment of any reasonable non-coplanar molecule would be *lower* than 2.02 D.

Similarly, the moment of 1:2:5-trimethylpyrrole (IV) derived from the value 1.92 D observed for 1-methylpyrrole (II) is 2.14 D (cf. 2.07 D observed).

The reasonably good vector additivity found for these compounds is obviously no rigorous proof of coplanarity, for the contribution of a substituent moment of only 0.35 D to the final moment is too small compared with the probable error in the values (0.02-)0.05 D). It was therefore hoped that a clearer answer might be obtained by using a substituent with a somewhat higher moment, and so the iodo-derivatives (V) and (VI) were used. In order to be able to compare these with the parent compounds (III) and (I), the difference of the moments of  $C_{ar}$ . I and  $H-C_{ar}$ , is needed. It will be taken as the moment of iodobenzene, thus making an empirical allowance for the  $\pi$ -moment in C-iodopyrrole by assuming it to be equal to the one in iodobenzene. The value taken is 1.42 D(Mr. M. J. Saxby, private communication); earlier values varying from 1.25 to 1.38 D are given by Walden and Werner (Z. physikal. Chem., 1929, B, 2, 10), Bergmann et al. (ibid., 1930, B, 10, 106), and Tiganik (*ibid.*, 1931, B, 13, 425). Assuming the simple ring geometry already described, and making no allowance for the various induced moments, we obtain 4.38 D for 3: 4-di-iodo-2: 5-dimethylpyrrole. When allowance is made for induced moments (Car.-I moment on iodine and pyrrole moment on iodine) by Hampson and Weissberger's method (J., 1936, 393) we obtain 4.24 D. The agreement with the observed value 4.00 D is only fair and may possibly indicate some non-coplanarity of the N-H bond; but the corrections for induced moments are not very precise. For 2:3:4:5-tetraiodopyrrole (VI), a similar basis being used and without corrections for induced moments, a value of 3.22 D is obtained. When corrected, this becomes 2.48 D, which agrees well with the observed value of 2.52 D; but in view of the previous result we regard this agreement as somewhat fortuitous. These results for the iodo-derivatives obviously confirm the previous conclusions about the direction of the pyrrole moment but do not provide decisive evidence for a coplanar N-H bond.

From the moments of pyrrole (1.80) and of 1-methylpyrrole (1.92) it appears that the effective moment of Me-N exceeds that of H-N by 0.12 D, whereas the  $\sigma$ -moment of Me-N is *lower* than that of H-N by about 0.5 D (H-N 1.3 against Me-N 0.85 D from ammonia and trimethylamine, respectively). Hence we have to explain an extra moment of +0.6 superimposed upon the normal  $\sigma$ -moment in Me-N. As for the toluene moment, we can put forward several hypotheses all having the feature in common that they are formal "explanations" in different languages expressing our ignorance rather than our knowledge (see, *e.g.*, Hurdis and Smyth, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1943, **65**, 89; Baker *J.*, 1939, 1150; Le Fèvre, Le Fèvre, and Robertson, *J.*, 1935, 480). Some explanations can, however, be ruled out.

It is, for instance, unlikely to be due to solvent effect, which should be much the same in pyrrole and in 1-methylpyrrole; nor is it due to association. Hydrogen bonding, giving head-to-head association between a pair of pyrrole molecules, would admittedly reduce the observed moment of pyrrole and could thus account for the discrepancy. But, although the relatively high boiling point is some indication of this, the total polarization of pyrrole in benzene solution varies very little with concentration and in any case no more than does that of 1-methylpyrrole (see p. 1475).

The apparent extra moment of Me–N in methylpyrrole may be explained by the greater electronegativity of the nitrogen atom in pyrrole than in the aliphatic compounds, owing to the formal positive charge given by  $\pi$ -electron redistribution. The effect of the increased electronegativity would be more marked in 1-methylpyrrole than in pyrrole because of the greater polarizability of the methyl group.

The anomaly may also originate from the different hybridization of the nitrogen atom in the aliphatic and in the cyclic state. Further light might be thrown upon this problem by the dipole moments of other N-alkyl-pyrroles.

(B) Collinearity of Ring Axes in the 1-Phenylpyrroles (VII)—(XVI).—These compounds were investigated with the aim of studying the interaction between the two aromatic rings, and the steric and electronic influence on this interaction of substitutents introduced in either ring.

In 1-phenylpyrrole itself a certain degree of interannular conjugation is to be expected. It may be visualized from a series of resonating structures having a positive charge on the nitrogen atom, a negative one on the benzene ring (o- or p-position), and an interannular double bond.

The resonance energy in 1-phenylpyrrole due to the interannular conjugation has been estimated by Pauling and Schomaker (*loc. cit.*) from combustion data as 6 kcal./mol. In diphenyl the conjugation energy is 8 kcal./mol. (Pauling and Sherman, *J. Chem. Physics*, 1933, 1, 606, 679). It is reasonable that the interannular conjugation energy is lower in 1-phenylpyrrole, since the nitrogen atom is already involved in *intra*annular conjugation.

In order that the conjugation energy may be as large as possible, the two rings will tend to be more or less coplanar. Bastiansen (*Acta Chim. Scand.*, 1949, **3**, 408) concludes from an electron-diffraction examination that, in the vapour state, diphenyl is linear with the two ring planes mutually twisted  $45^{\circ} \pm 10^{\circ}$  round the interannular bond. Neither the coplanar nor the orthogonal configuration is satisfactory. In the crystalline state, on the other hand, diphenyl is found to be linear and coplanar (Dhar, *Indian J. Physics*, 1932, **7**, 43). The structure of the molecule will probably not differ very much in the vapour state and in dilute solutions. The angle  $45^{\circ}$  suggested agrees in this case with the conclusions from a scale diagram (Fig. 1). There is an appreciable steric interference between the hydrogen atoms in *o*-positions to the interannular bond. In *N*-phenylpyrrole (Fig. 2), however, the steric interference is considerably less (0·3 Å overlap compared with 0·6 Å in diphenyl) because, although the Ph-N bond is shorter than the Ph-Ph bond, the pentagonal pyrrole ring increases the clearance of the *o*-hydrogen atoms. This molecule may therefore well be coplanar or nearly so in spite of the interannular resonance energy being smaller than in the non-coplanar diphenyl. The interannular conjugation will manifest itself in an extra  $\pi$ -moment probably of negative sign (negative pole towards phenyl nucleus). This direction will be shown to be correct, and the magnitude estimated by using the device of steric inhibition of resonance (Section C). To effect this demonstration properly, however, we need information about the inclination of the N-Ph link relatively to the pyrrole ring axis both in the conjugated (VII, XI, XIII) and in the non-conjugated 1-phenylpyrroles (VIII, IX, X, XII, XIV, XV, XVI). Whereas the former are *a priori* almost certainly axially collinear, it is less certain that the latter are. The facts are the following.

The observed moment of 1-phenylpyrrole (VII) is 1.32 D. Adding the moment of toluene 0.35 D, we get 1.67 D as the expected value for 1-tolylpyrrole (XI). The fairly

FIG. 2.

FIG. 1.

FIG. 3. The pyrrole and benzene rings are shown orthogonally. The broken lines indicate the two modes of oscillation suggested for each pyrryl group and the bond attaching it to benzene.



good agreement with the observed value 1.79 p shows that the resultant moment of I-phenylpyrrole is positive and further suggests that the assumed collinearity of both compounds is correct. Non-collinearity would make the predicted value even smaller.

The moment calculated for 1-p-chlorophenylpyrrole (XIII) by a similar procedure, chlorobenzene being taken as 1.60 D, is -0.28 D. If allowance be made for the moments induced in chlorine by the pyrryl dipole and in the pyrrole ring by the C-Cl dipole this value becomes -0.31 D. The experimental figure is 0.3 D. Non-collinearity is therefore unlikely in the conjugated compounds.

Collinearity in the non-conjugated series may be tested by comparison of (VIII) with (XII). The value predicted for (XII) from that of (VIII) and the assumed extra moment 0.35 D of Me-C<sub>ar</sub>. is 2.35 D, collinearity being assumed. The observed value is 2.34 D. The component moment of Me-C is, however, too small for this test to be reliable. For this reason (XIV) and (XV), with the more polar halogen substituents, were investigated. From the observed moments of (VIII) and with chlorobenzene taken as 1.60 D, we get +0.40 D as the expected value for (XIV) if this be collinear. When allowance is made for induced moments, this value is reduced to +0.36. The observed value is 0.50 D, *i.e.*, it is larger than the predicted one. The same is true for the bromo-derivative (XV), where the respective values, the moment of bromobenzene being taken as 1.57 D, are +0.43 (uncorrected), +0.41 (corrected), and 0.54 D (observed). The discrepancy in the last two cases could arise from a small departure, about  $6-7^\circ$ , from collinearity.

The nitro-compound (XVI) has a moment of 2.48 p. The uncorrected calculated value, the moment of nitrobenzene being taken as 4.00 p, is -2.00 p, and the corrected one is 2.09 p. The discrepancy provides no evidence for non-collinearity, because electronic shifts involving the  $\pi$ -electrons of the nitro-group and of the pyrrole ring may well occur.

Because these results gave no clear answer, it was hoped that a direct test might be

made by measuring (XVII), which evidently would be non-polar if it were collinear. The observed moment is, however, 0.77 D. This may be real, *i.e.*, due to permanent non-collinearity of the molecule, or it may be an apparent moment due to atom polarization, which has been shown to reach considerable magnitudes in other p-disubstituted benzenes, or it may be due to each factor in part. It is possible that the 2 : 5-dimethylpyrryl groups oscillate as a whole owing to bending of the Ph-N valencies relatively to the axis of the benzene ring (Fig. 3), and further that the pyrrole rings themselves either (a) oscillate relatively to the N-Ph bonds or (b) are permanently bent relatively to them. Alternative (a) would give rise to two atom polarizations, and alternative (b) to an atom polarization and an orientation polarization, although the distinction in such cases is not an absolute one (cf. Sutton, *Ann. Reports*, 1940, **37**, 57 et seq.).

The first contribution may be estimated by assuming that each pyrryl group is a twodimensional oscillator, that the oscillating moment  $\mu_i$  is 2.0 D [that of compound (VIII)], and that the force constant is  $V_i = 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg/radian}^2/\text{molecule}$ . From the relation  $_{\rm A}P = 4\pi N u_i^2/9 V_i$  for each dimension of oscillation of each oscillator, we get an atom polarization  $_{A}P = 4.5$  c.c. The apparent orientation polarization, corresponding to the moment 0.77 D, which we have to explain is  $12 \cdot 1$  c.c. The remaining 7.6 c.c. must then be due either to the second atom polarization or to the permanent bending about the ring nitrogen atom. In the former case we can calculate the force constant, by applying the same relation, regarding each pyrrole ring as a two-dimensional oscillator and taking the moment  $\mu_i$  as 0.8 D [*i.e.*, that of compound (III) less an estimated 1.3 for the H–N bond]. This gives  $V_i = 0.28 \times 10^{-12}$  erg/radian<sup>2</sup>/molecule, which is a very small value. In the latter case we can derive the angle  $\theta$  of permanent bend relatively to the axis of the pyrrole ring, from the relation  $2\mu_i \sin \theta = \sqrt{2} \mu_T$  where  $\mu_T$  is the moment (0.6 D) corresponding to 7.6 c.c. of orientation polarization. This gives  $\theta = 32^{\circ}$ . We conclude, therefore, either that there is an unusually small force constant of bending for the N-Ph bond relatively to the pyrrole ring, or that this bond is up to 32° permanently out of line with the axis of the pyrrole ring. Lord and Miller (J. Chem. Physics, 1942, 10, 339) consider that there is spectroscopic evidence for a flattened potential curve for energy as a function of the inclination of the N-H bond in pyrrole to the ring plane. This would agree with the former of our alternative conclusions, which therefore we favour. For further elucidation the moment of p-phenylenedi-1-pyrrylbenzene (XVII without the methyl groups) should be useful. If this conjugated compound were to have moment of the same order of magnitude as that of (XVII) it would support the explanation on grounds of atom polarization only. If, on the other hand, the moment be decidedly smaller or zero, then the apparent moment of (XVII) is likely to be due to permanent bend. An attempt is being made to prepare the new compound.

The general conclusions from the tests carried out are that in 1-phenylpyrrole the ring axes are very probably collinear, but that in the compounds with sterically hindered conjugation (VIII, etc.) they probably are not more than 7° out of line, as judged from the values of the p-substituted derivatives. Even if the N-Ph bond in these is out of plane to this extent, this still means that there is clear evidence of a large degree of separation of the orbitals of the nitrogen atom into an  $sp^2$  set used for  $\sigma$ -bonds and a p-orbital which participates in  $\pi$ -bonding within the pyrrole ring. The problem is the same as that which arises in the sydnones (cf. Hill and Sutton, *loc. cit.*).

(C) Steric Inhibition of Interannular Conjugation in the 1-Phenylpyrrole Series.—In the series (VII)—(X) because of the increasing number of methyl groups in the positions ortho to the interannular link, the steric interference (cf. Fig. 3) should cause the benzene ring to be twisted about the N-Ph link out of the plane of the hetero-ring and thus inhibit the interannular conjugation more and more in the order given. The moments of (VIII), (IX), and (X) are directly comparable because the moments of the methyl groups in the benzene ring cancel; but in (VII) we must allow for the absence of the methyl groups in the 2:5-positions of the pyrrole ring. If we do this, on the basis of the substitution moments used previously, we obtain 1.54 D as the comparable value [*i.e.*, the moment predicted for (VIII) if it had been coplanar].

In (VII), with least if any steric influences, the positive moment of 1-phenylpyrrole

may be opposed by a negative  $\pi$ -moment, due to the interannular conjugation. The  $\pi$ -moment should progressively diminish in (VIII) and (IX). It probably vanishes in (IX) as shown by the near equality of the observed moments of (IX) and (X). The magnitude of the  $\pi$ -moment may be calculated, as the difference of the corrected moment of (VII), *i.e.*, 1.54 D, and the moment of (IX) or (X), to be -0.53 D. In this calculation we have assumed collinearity of all the compounds involved. A check on this value is obtained from the pair (VII) and (II), which should differ only by the  $\pi$ -moment, which therefore is -0.60 D.

The observed moments of (IV) and (IX) are identical, which is to be expected if the conjugation is completely inhibited in (IX) and if the effective  $\sigma$ -moments of Me–N and Ph–N are similar.

It would be interesting to compare the derived  $\pi$ -moment of ca. -0.5 D with that created by interannular conjugation when the benzene ring is attached to a carbon atom in pyrrole and not to the nitrogen. The present investigation does not include C-phenylpyrroles but results for indoles reported by Janetsky and Lebret (loc. cit.) permit of a rough calculation. The direction of the indole moment being taken to be approximately parallel to the radial bond from  $C_{(2)}$  and in the sense shown in Fig. 1 (see p. 1471) the contribution of the Ph-C link is the difference between 2.11 D and 2.01 D, *i.e.*,  $\mu_{0-Ph} = 0.10$ . The Ph-C moment can also be calculated from 1:3-dimethylindole (2.04 D) and 1:3-dimethyl-2-phenylindole (2.18 D), to be  $\mu_{Ph-O} = 0.14$  D, which is in the opposite direction. The reason for the difference in magnitude and direction of the two derived values is probably that 1:3-dimethyl-2-phenylpyrrole is similar to 2:5-dimethyl-1-phenylpyrrole in that steric influences twist the benzene ring out of the normal plane and inhibit the conjugation. The latter value,  $\mu_{Ph-O} = 0.14$  D, may give the order of magnitude and direction of the extra  $\sigma$ -moment (relative to H-C) of the group Ph-C in indole and pyrrole. We conclude, therefore, that in the coplanar, conjugated compounds a  $\pi$ -moment of about 0.24 D in the opposite direction, C-Ph, is superimposed on it. These relations are shown in the vector diagram below.



(The direction of the mesomeric moment is that which would be expected from the increase of negative charge in the pyrrole ring.)

Conclusions.-The main conclusions we derive from the results are the following :

(i) The moments of pyrrole and of 1-methylpyrrole are certainly *positive*, *i.e.*, they have the positive pole toward the nitrogen atom and the negative one toward the rest of the ring atoms. This agrees with current explanations of the aromatic character of the pyrrole ring.

(ii) The observed moment of pyrrole agrees fairly well in magnitude with that calculated by a molecular-orbital procedure : the  $\pi$ -moment derived thereform is +140 D. The moment of 1-methylpyrrole indicates that the Me-N group moment is larger than in a tertiary aliphatic amine.

(iii) The N-H and N-Me bonds in pyrrole and 1-methylpyrrole are probably not much inclined to the plane of the ring. This also agrees with current theory.

(iv) The ring axes in 1-phenylpyrrole are very probably collinear, but in 2:5-dimethyl-1-phenylpyrrole they may be up to 7° out of line.

(v) p-Bis-(2: 5-dimethyl-1-pyrryl)benzene (XVII) has a small apparent moment of 0.77 D. If this is due to atom polarization the force constant for the bending of the pyrryl

group relatively to the benzene ring must be abnormally small. If it is a real moment, the pyrrole and the phenyl axes are about  $32^{\circ}$  out of line. The former alternative agrees with the spectroscopic evidence for the structure of pyrrole itself.

(vi) In 1-phenylpyrrole there is a  $\pi$ -moment of about -0.5 D, due to interannular conjugation. It is reduced by substituting methyl groups for hydrogen in the 2:5-positions of the pyrrole ring and in the *o*-positions of the benzene ring. Methyl groups in the 2:5-positions stop it almost completely, and one more methyl group in an *o*-position does so quite completely.

(vii) There are small induced moments in 2:5-dimethyl-1-*p*-nitrophenylpyrrole and probably a considerable electromeric interaction moment.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Physical Measurements.—All measurements were made at 25°. The electric dipole moment was obtained from the equation  $\mu = 0.2212(_{T}P - R_D)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . No correction was made for atom polarization apart from that implied in using  $R_D$  to give the distortion polarization. The total polarization  $_{T}P$  was computed from the observed values of dielectric constant, and the specific volume for benzene solutions by Halverstadt and Kumler's procedure (*J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1942, 64, 2988). Symbols have the same significance as in that paper. The dielectric constants  $\varepsilon$  were measured with a heterodyne beat capacity meter designed by R. A. W. Hill and L. E. Sutton in conjunction with a condenser similar to that described by Jenkins and Sutton (*J.*, 1935, 609). Specific volumes were measured with a modified Sprengel–Ostwald pyknometer. The molar refractions  $R_D$  were computed from the refractive indices and specific volumes usually only from the most concentrated solutions, as most of the compounds have refractive indices close to that of benzene itself.

The refractive index  $n_{\rm D}$  of the solution relative to that of the benzene used for preparing it was measured with a Pulfrich refractometer fitted with a centrally divided cell. The standard dielectric constant of pure dry benzene was taken as 2.2727 (Hartshorn and Oliver, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, 1929, **123**, *A*, 664).

The results are given in Table 2, all dipole moments being in Debye units.

Preparation and Purification of Materials.—Benzene. Analytical-grade benzene was frozen out three times, about one-fifth being poured off and rejected each time, dried over phosphoric oxide, distilled just before use, and stored under dry air; it had f. p. 5·2°.

*Pyrrole* (preparation by J. JACKSON). Commerical pyrrole was distilled in a coal-gas atmosphere under reduced pressure. The middle fraction distilling at  $31-32^{\circ}/17$  mm. was converted into the potassium salt. Pyrrole was recovered from the purified salt by treatment with water and finally dried and redistilled in a coal-gas atmosphere, the middle fraction being used for the measurements.

1-Methylpyrrole (preparation by J. JACKSON). Potassium pyrrole was boiled for 6 hours with 2 equivalents of methyl iodide and an equal volume of dry ether. The product was isolated by fractional distillation and, after a final treatment with metallic sodium, was redistilled in a coal-gas atmosphere under reduced pressure; it had b. p. 20°/17 mm. (yield 40%) (Found : N, 17.4. Calc. for  $C_5H_2N$  : N, 17.3%).

2:5-Dimethylpyrrole. This was prepared by refluxing acetonylacetone with ammonium carbonate (Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. II, p. 219). After isolation the product was distilled under reduced nitrogen pressure. The constant-boiling middle fraction distilled at 74°/19 mm. It was twice frozen out in a nitrogen atmosphere, one-fourth being poured off and rejected each time. The product was stored in a glass container which was sealed off, after being filled with nitrogen. It had  $n_{25}^{25}$  1.4980 ( $n_{22}^{25}$  1.500, loc. cit.), and remained colourless until exposed to air. The measurements were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere.

1:2:5-Trimethylpyrrole. An attempt was made to prepare this compound by refluxing acetonylacetone with methylammonium chloride at 100°. The mixture turned green, and no condensation took place in neutral conditions. A successful preparation was carried out as follows. Acetonylacetone and methylammonium chloride (0.2 mole each) in water (20 ml.), were treated with aqueous sodium hydroxide (0.4 mole in 20 ml.) dropwise, at  $\langle 0^{\circ}$ . The reaction mixture was finally heated for a few minutes, and the oily layer isolated, washed with water, dried and distilled. The substance, b. p.  $68^{\circ}/17$  mm., was stored in a sealed tube. It is more stable to air and light than the previous compound. Knorr (Annalen, 1886, 236, 304) gives b. p.  $170^{\circ}/760$  mm.,  $169^{\circ}/746$  mm.

TABLE 2. (Roman numerals refer to Table 1).

		Pyrrole (I)		
10 <sup>5</sup> ω	ε	v	$_{\mathbf{T}}P$	$10^{5}\Delta n_{23}^{ m D}$
157	2.2800			T
320	$2 \cdot 2898$		87.4	
716	2.3111	1.14360	87.0	
1028	$2 \cdot 3268$	1.14328	85.9	
1487	2.3514		85.4	
2033	2.3812	1.14185	85.5	

 $\varepsilon = 2 \cdot 2720 + 5 \cdot 376 \omega$ ;  $v = 1 \cdot 14456 - 0 \cdot 1323 \omega$ ; TP = 88.0 c.c.; R\_D = 21.7 c.c.;  $\mu = 1 \cdot 80 \pm 0 \cdot 01$  d

	1-Me	thylpyrrole	(II)	
258	$2 \cdot 2896$	1.14390	104.5	
492	$2 \cdot 2977$	1.14380	$103 \cdot 6$	
742	2.3103	1.14371	$103 \cdot 1$	
1480	$2 \cdot 3468$	1.14336	101.5	
2309	$2 \cdot 3895$	1.14300	101.6	

 $\varepsilon = 2.2747 + 4.929\omega$ ;  $v = 1.14401 - 0.0428\omega$ ; TP = 101.7 c.c.;  $R_D = 26.7$  c.c.;  $\mu = 1.92 \pm 0.02$  D

#### 2:5-Dimethylpyrrole (III)

283	$2 \cdot 2849$	1.14539		
560	$2 \cdot 3003$	1.14513	·	
856	2.3134	1.14500		
1468	$2 \cdot 3438$	1.14446		00

 $\varepsilon = 2.2717 + 4.918\omega; v = 1.14563 - 0.0802\omega;$ TP = 118.2 c.c.;  $R_{D} = 29.8$  c.c.;  $\mu = 2.08 \pm 0.03$  d

#### 1:2:5-Trimethylpyrrole (IV)

189	2.2809	1.14511	<u> </u>	
446	$2 \cdot 2921$	1.14502		
568	$2 \cdot 2970$	1.14496		<u> </u>
1196	$2 \cdot 3238$	1.14478	<u> </u>	00

 $\varepsilon = 2.2730 + 4.254\omega; v = 1.14517 - 0.0328\omega; v^{P} = 123.5 \text{ c.c.}; R_{D} = 35.8 \text{ c.c.}; \mu = 2.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ d}$ 

## 3: 4-Di-iodo-2: 5-dimethylpyrrole (V)

116	2.2789	1.14433	_	
375	$2 \cdot 2922$	1.14243	<u> </u>	
<b>540</b>	2.3008	1.14129		32
1143	2.3322	1.13679	<u> </u>	<b>76</b>

 $\varepsilon = 2 \cdot 2727 + 5 \cdot 199\omega; v = 1 \cdot 14518 - 0 \cdot 7311\omega;$ TP = 382 · 2 c.c.;  $R_D = 55 \cdot 5$  c.c.;  $\mu = 4 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 01$  d

### 2:3:4:5-Tetraiodopyrrole (VI)

109	2.2748	1.14421	 
238	2.2759	1.14288	 
586	2.2815	1.13986	 50
1091	2.2887	1.13566	 86

 $\epsilon = 2 \cdot 2729 + 1 \cdot 443\omega; v = 1 \cdot 14502 - 0 \cdot 864\omega;$  $_{T}P = 202 \cdot 7 \text{ c.c.}; R_{D} = 72 \cdot 7 \text{ c.c.}; \mu = 2 \cdot 52 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \text{ d}$ 

#### 1-Phenylpyrrole (VII)

80	$2 \cdot 2745$	1.14489		
191	$2 \cdot 2771$	1.14471	<u> </u>	20
135	$2 \cdot 2808$	1.14442		
773	$2 \cdot 2859$	$1 \cdot 14363$		<b>76</b>

 $\epsilon = 2.2736 + 1.610\omega; v = 1.4508 - 0.180\omega;$ TP = 84.1 c.c.; R<sub>D</sub> = 48.7 c.c.;  $\mu = 1.32 \pm 0.04$  D

## 2: 5-Dimethyl-1-phenylpyrrole (VIII)

104	2.2759	1.14532	 
288	$2 \cdot 2812$	1.14501	 
699	$2 \cdot 2920$	1.14444	 41

 $\epsilon = 2 \cdot 2732 + 2 \cdot 691\omega; v = 1 \cdot 14545 - 0 \cdot 145\omega;$ TP = 137.6 c.c.;  $R_D = 56 \cdot 1$  c.c.;  $\mu = 2 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 04$  d

erals rete	r to Tabl	e I).		
2:5:2	' : 5'-Tetra	methyl-1-ph	envlhvvi	$ole(\mathbf{IX})$
105		noonge i pro	ם לאלייי	105 A D
919	9.9790	1.14495	TL	$10^{\circ} \Delta n_{25}^{-10}$
212	9,9919	1.14460		
201	2.2012	1.14400		
049	2.2930	1.14974		
1440	2.3018	1.14374		57
$arepsilon = 2 \cdot 27$ $_{ m T}P = 153 \cdot$	28 + 2.429 4 c.c.; $R_D$	$\partial \omega; \ v = 1 \cdot q$ = 66 $\cdot 2$ c.c.;	$\mu = 2.0$	- 0·0937ω; )7 ± 0·02 d
9.5.91	· 11 . 6' Da	atawathat 1	- l	
2. 0. 2	. 4 . 0 - 1 6	numeinyi-1-	.pnenyip	yrrole (A)
273	2.2786	1.14496		
684	2.2875	1.14460		
837	2.2917	1.14450		
1310	2.3019	1.14402		44
$\epsilon = 2.27$	25 + 2.24	$\mathbf{l}\omega$ ; $v = \mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{l}$	14523 -	0.0916ω;
$_{\mathrm{T}}P = 156$	8 c.c.; R <sub>D</sub>	$= 70.2  { m c.c.}$ ;	$\mu = 2 \cdot 0$	$06 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{d}$
	1. 7.	1 1 (37	<b>T</b> \	
	1-p-1 oly	npyrrole (X	1)	
80	2.2752	1.14489	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
370	2.2815	$1 \cdot 14446$		
418	2.2828	1.14444		
874	2.2940	1.14345		55
$\epsilon = 2 \cdot 27$	30 + 2.38	$8\omega; v = 1$	14510 -	$-0.182\omega$ :
$_{\rm T}P = 115$	$6 \text{ c.c.}; R_n$	= 50.1  c.c.	$\mu = 1 \cdot 2$	79 + 0.04  D
-	, <b>D</b>		•	
2:5	: 4'-Trimet	hyl-1-pheny	lpyrrole	(XII)
69	$2 \cdot 2746$	1.14526		·
311	$2 \cdot 2827$	1.14500		
662	$2 \cdot 2943$	1.14459		30
$\epsilon = 2.27$	23 + 3.32	$8\omega \cdot v = 1$	14532	_ 0.107
$_{mP} = 173$	$30 - 8_{2}$	$-61.2$ c c $\cdot$	$\mu = 2.9$	= 0.10700, $14 \pm 0.02$ D
TT = TTO	ос.с., н <u>р</u>	- 01 2 C.C. ,	<b>λ</b> — 4 ι	$\pm 0.02$ D
1-	p-Chloroph	envlbvrrole	(XIII)	
114	9,9791	1.14503	()	
180	2.2731	1.14485		
499	2.2730	1.14400		
422	2.2742	1.14999		26
122	4-474J	1.14999	1 4 7 4 0	30
$\varepsilon = 2 \cdot 2 i$	(32 + 0.20)	$\omega; v = 1$	14540 -	$-0.2857\omega;$
$_{\rm T}P = 52.4$	$\mathbf{i}$ c.c.; $K_D$	= 50.2 c.c.	; $\mu = 0$	0-0∙3 D
l.n.Ch	lovobhannil	9 . 5 dimath	albannal	$a(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{V})$
1-p-0/	a ana	1 14400	syipyrroi	6 (XIV)
105	2.2728	1.14492		
275	2.2734	1.14450		
674	2.2749	1.14332		44
1046	2.2756	1.14203		66
$\epsilon = 2 \cdot 27$	726 + 0.31	$0\omega; v=1\cdot$	14530 -	- 0·306ω;
$_{\mathrm{T}}P = 63.4$	$c.c.; R_D =$	= 58.2  c.c.;	$\mu = 0.8$	$50\pm0.04$ d
1 - D		0 7 7	7 7	1. (3737)
1-р-Бі	omopnenyi	-2 : 5-aimet	nyıpyrro	$le(\mathbf{XV})$
163	2.2733	1.14450		
229	$2 \cdot 2733$	1.14420		
557	$2 \cdot 2743$	1.14278		38
1057	2.2759	1.14074		67
$\epsilon = 2 \cdot 27$	727 + 0.30	$9\omega; v = 1$	14514 -	· 0·414ω;
$_{\rm T}P = 69 \cdot 0$	) c.c.; $R_{D}$	= 62.9  c.c.;	$\mu = 0$	$54 \pm 0.03$ d
2:5-1	Dimethyl-1-	p-nitrophen	ylpyrrol	e (XVI)
136	2.2774	1.14459		<u> </u>
331	$2 \cdot 2834$	1.14410		
472	$2 \cdot 2883$	1.14344		38
884	$2 \cdot 3022$	$1 \cdot 14242$		73
$\epsilon = 2 \cdot 27$	127 + 3.32	$1\omega$ ; $v = 1$ .	14500 -	- 0·299ω :
rP = 189	5 c.c.: R	= 64.1  c.c.	$\mu = 2 \cdot q$	18 + 0.02 D
	· · · · · · · · D	,		· · · · ·
p-Bis-(	2:5-dimet	hyl-1-pyrryl	)benzene	(XVII)
173	$2 \cdot 2731$	1.14475		
377	$2 \cdot 2747$	1.14451		
648	2.2751	1.14379		47

964 2.2771 1.14315 -- 73  $\varepsilon = 2.2725 + 0.456\omega; v = 1.14523 - 0.218\omega;$ 

 $_{
m T}P=95.6~{
m c.c.}$  ;  $R_{D}=83.5~{
m c.c.}$  ;  $\mu=0.77\pm0.03~{
m d}$  d

2:3:4:5-Tetraiodopyrrole. This was prepared according to Ciamician and Silber (Ber., 1885, 18, 1766) by iodination of pyrrole in alkaline aqueous solution. It is essential in order to avoid formation of tar that the iodine solution be added dropwise no faster than it is consumed : even then the product is strongly coloured. It was recrystallized (charcoal) several times. The final product was slightly yellow; it decomposed without melting at 150—160° [Found : N, 2.6; I, (82.2), 88.7. Calc. for C<sub>4</sub>HNI<sub>4</sub>: N, 2.5; I, 89.0%].

3:4-Di-iodo-2:5-dimethylpyrrole. On attempted direct iodination in neutral aqueous solution, partial decomposition and precipitation of a heavy brown oil occurred. In an alkaline medium (1.9 g. of 2:5-dimethylpyrrole, 2 g. of sodium hydroxide in 50 ml. of 50% ethanol) the substance readily takes up the calculated amount of iodine, and white heavy crystals appear. (Undoubtedly the hydrogen iodide set free during iodination in initially neutral solution causes the coloration.) Recrystallized several times from 50% alcohol, the compound had m. p. 123—124° (decomp.) (Found: N, 4.0; I, 73.5. C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NI<sub>2</sub> requires N, 4.0; I, 73.2%).

2:5-Dimethyl-1-phenylpyrrole. Ethyl diacetylsuccinate was prepared as described by Fischer ("Anleitung zur Darstellung Organischer Präparate," 1920, 48). It was condensed with aniline in glacial acetic acid (Knorr, Annalen, 1886, 236, 305). The ethyl 2:5-dimethyl-1-phenylpyrrole-3: 4-dicarboxylate was hydrolysed and decarboxylated. The product, recrystallized twice from alcohol, had m. p. 49-50° (Knorr, *loc. cit.*, gives 51°).

1-Phenylpyrrole. This was prepared by Köttnitz's method (J. pr. Chem., 1873, 6, 143), *i.e.*, by pyrolysis of anilinium mucate. On being twice recrystallized from alcohol, it was obtained as white leaflets, m. p.  $60-61^{\circ}$  (Köttnitz, *loc. cit.*, gives  $62^{\circ}$ ).

1-p-Tolylpyrrole. This was prepared similarly and recrystallized from alcohol as white crystals, m. p. 82-82.5° (Pictet, Ber., 1904, 37, 2795, gives 82°).

1-p-Chlorophenylpyrrole. By the same method, this compound was prepared; recrystallized twice from alcohol, it had m. p. 87-88° (Wibaut and Dhont, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1933, **62**, 272, report 88-89°).

2:5:2':5'-Tetramethyl-1-phenylpyrrole. This and the following compounds were prepared according to Hazlewood, Hughes, and Lions's method (J. Proc. Roy. Soc. New South Wales, 1937, 71, 92), *i.e.*, condensation of the appropriate amine with acetonylacetone, catalysed by a few drops of 5N-hydrochloric acid. Commercial p-xylidine, free from m-4-xylidene, was distilled at  $214^{\circ}/760$  mm., and condensed with acetonylacetone. The product distilled at  $148-149^{\circ}/45$  mm. (Hazlewood et al., loc. cit., give  $121^{\circ}/9$  mm.).

2:5:2':4':6'-Pentamethyl-1-phenylpyrrole. Nitromesitylene, prepared essentially according to Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. II, p. 449, by nitration of commercial mesitylene, was reduced with tin and hydrochloric acid. A complex was precipitated as dark red crystals. It was decomposed by alkali, and the amine isolated by steam-distillation as a slightly yellow product and dried. The b. p. was  $233^{\circ}/760$  mm. This product was then condensed with acetonylacetone, the reaction proceeding smoothly at 100°. The product was obtained in good yield as a colourless liquid which distilled constantly at  $134-135^{\circ}/11$  mm. (Found : C,  $84\cdot4$ ; H, 9·0.  $C_{15}H_{18}N$  requires C,  $84\cdot5$ ; H,  $8\cdot9\%$ ).

2: 5-Dimethyl-p-tolylpyrrole. Prepared from p-toluidine, this formed white crystals, m. p.  $45-46^{\circ}$  (Knorr, loc. cit., gives  $45-46^{\circ}$ ).

1-p-Chlorophenyl-2: 5-dimethylpyrrole, similarly prepared from p-chloroaniline, formed white crystals, m. p. 49—50° (Hazlewood *et al., loc. cit.*, give 50°); the p-bromo-compound, from p-bromoaniline, formed white crystals, m. p.  $74 \cdot 5 - 75^\circ$  ( $74 - 75^\circ$ , *loc. cit.*); and the p-nitro-compound, from p-nitroaniline, formed yellow crystals, m. p.  $145^\circ$  ( $145^\circ$ , *loc. cit.*).

p-Bis-(2 : 5-dimethyl-1-pyrryl)benzene, from p-phenylenediamine, recrystallized from benzene as white crystals, m. p. 255–257° (Hazlewood *et al.*, *loc. cit.*, give 245–253°) (Found : C, 81·9; H, 7·4. Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub> : C, 81·8; H, 7·6%).

The authors are indebted to Dr. K. B. Everard and Dr. L. E. Orgel for discussion. The analyses are by Mr. F. Hall. One of us (H. K.) records his thanks to the British Council for a scholarship.

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY, OXFORD.

[Received. October, 25th 1951.]